

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION**

**JASON C. ANDERSON,**

**Petitioner,**

**v.**

**1:05-cv-952-WSD**

**THE UNITED STATES and  
LOCKHEED GEORGIA  
EMPLOYEES'S FEDERAL  
CREDIT UNION,**

**Respondents.**

---

**OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on Petitioner's Request for Reconsideration of Final Decision and Motion to Dismiss [40].


Petitioner moves this Court to reconsider its final order adopting the Magistrate Judge's Report, Recommendation and Order. A motion for reconsideration is appropriate only where there is: (1) newly discovered evidence; (2) an intervening development or change in controlling law; or (3) a need to correct a clear error of law or fact. Jersawitz v. People TV, 71 F. Supp. 2d 1330, 1344 (N.D. Ga. 1999); Pres. Endangered Areas of Cobb's History, Inc. v. U.S. Army Corps of Eng'rs, 916 F. Supp. 1557, 1560 (N.D. Ga. 1995). "Motions for

reconsideration shall not be filed as a matter of routine practice.” L.R. 7.2(E), N.D.Ga. Motions for reconsideration may not be used to present the Court with arguments already heard and dismissed, or to offer new legal theories or evidence that could have been presented in the previously filed motion. Bryan v. Murphy, 246 F. Supp. 2d 1256, 1259 (N.D. Ga. 2003).

The Court finds Petitioner has not provided the Court with a sufficient basis to reconsider its order adopting the Magistrate Judge’s Report, Recommendation and Order. Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Petitioner’s request to reconsider is **DENIED**.

**SO ORDERED** this 22nd day of August, 2006.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM S. DUFFEY, JR.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE